Topic 9:

Ad hoc Network (Mesh Network)

Professor Eric Hsiaokuang Wu

May 16, 2008



Wireless Mesh Network.



Mesh Network Scenario



Multi-channel, Multi-Radio, Directional Antenna



Two Issues for Collaborative Computing

- Network Layer Collaborative:
  - Ad hoc~ Infrastructure-less ~ support “anytime, anywhere”
  - To support communications between ad hoc nodes
    - To guide the packets effectively to satisfy different requirements
    - To adjust to dynamical topology change (due to Mobility)
- Application Collaborative:
  - Video Conferencing, News Broadcasting
  - Group of users to share the same information
  - Mobility Support



Trend Evolution

- IP success
  - The involvement and level of responsibility of end users have dramatically increased
  - The freedom has fueled creativity
- Infrastructure-less, self-organized networks
  - The network runs solely by operation of end users
  - Progress of electronic integration and wireless communication
  - Complement these infrastructures in cases where cost, constraints, or environment require self-organized solutions
  - Will be interconnected with the Internet and cellular networks



Mobile Computing to Pervasive Computing





Mesh Network Scenario



Why not existing routing protocol

- Existing routing protocol search for shortest path not guarantee any QoS.



Bandwidth influence ~ hidden route problem



Traffic aggregation of existing flow



B_{self}: Tx or Rx by I.

$B_{neighborhood}$: traffic between I's neighbors.

$B_{boundary}$: connection cross I's access range.

$$B_{\text{available}}(I) = B - \sum_{J \in N(I)} B_{\text{self}}(J).$$

802.11 Bandwidth Estimation



Fig. 3. Measured Throughput with slowly increasing offered load.

Markov chain model



Fig. 3. Markov chain model for the backoff window size.

Wireless sensor network: data gathering



Energy constraint of sensor network

- Battery-equipped, limited energy
- Remote environment, re-charge is hard





Spatial correlation among measured data





Correlated data encoding for energy efficiency

- Exploit spatial correlation to encode measured data to reduce amount of information.

- Explicit communication approach proposed by Razvan Cristescu et al. IEEE/ACM Trans. On Networking 2006.

Explicit communication approach



Explicit communication approach

- $H(X_i)$ is entropy of random variable X_i, and represents the amount of information.



(a) Transmission cost when data are independent.



(b) Transmission cost when data are dependent.

Joint optimization of rate allocation and routing path



Correlation Coefficient = $1-r_i/R_i$

Correlation Coefficient
(A,B)=0.7
(A,C)=0.5
(B,C)=0.9



Total cost =
20*2+22*2+2 = 86



Total cost =
22*3+6*2+2 = 80

Video Transmission in VANET

GPS gets instant video streams from the surveillance cameras at an intersection.

The driver can get a better view of the traffic.

What is a VANET (Vehicular Ad hoc Network) ?



IEEE 802.11p WAVE (Wireless Access in Vehicular Environment)communication std. , also know as DSRC (Dedicated Short Range Communication) protocols

VANET vs. MANET

- VANET can be considered as one of concrete applications of MANETs in the future
- The difference between VANET and MANET
  - (i) VANET have vehicles as network nodes and their main characteristics are highly mobility and speed
  - (ii) VANET nodes move non-randomly along specific paths (roads)
  - (iii) VANET nodes are vehicles, so there are less power and storage constraints
- Due to the characteristic of (i) (ii), VANET will suffer *rapid changes in network topology*, and will be subject to *frequent fragmentation*

Vehicular communications: why?

- In Taiwan, around 3,000 people die on the roads, 127,000 are injured in recent ten years
  - Traffic jams generate a tremendous waste of time
- Try to *improve driving safety* and *traffic management* while providing drivers and passengers with *Internet access*

Applications of vehicular communications

- There are many applications envisioned for VANETs, we can divide the applications into two major categories:
  - ***Safety-related applications***
    - Collision avoidance
    - Cooperative driving
  - ***Non-safety (private) applications***
    - Traffic optimization
    - Payment services (toll collections)
    - Location-based services (find the closest fuel station)
    - Infotainment (Internet access)

Scenario of VANET safety applications

Multicasting warning messages



Scenario of VANET private applications

Multicasting infotainment messages



Vehicular Ad Hoc Network Scenario



Vehicular Ad Hoc Network Scenario

- more fun,

- … and easier maintenance



Observations

Personal Communications have been the dominant paradigm so far, but mobile ad hoc networks open new possibilities, such as the communication between objects

Survey of Ad hoc Researches

Characteristics of Ad hoc

- Fully Distributed
- Complete Wireless
- Forwarding Functions
- Dynamic Topology

Applications of Ad hoc

- Packet Radio (PRNet), 1972
- MANET (1990)
- Sensor Networks
- Glomo Network
- Commercial Applications
- Large Scale Ad hoc Network
- Complementing Cellular System

Maintenance of Ad hoc

- Proactive Protocols
- Reactive Protocols
- Symmetric Links
- Hierarchical Protocol
- Power Budget & Lattency
- Beaconing & Link Status
- QoS Routing
- Application Routing
- GPS Assisting Routing

Reading

- [Jean2001] Jean-Pieere Hubaux, Thumas Gross, Jean-Yues Le Boudec, and Martin Vetterli, “Toward Self-Organized Mobile Ad Hoc Networks: The Terminodes Project”
- [Ian 2005] Ian F. Akyildiz, A Survey on Wireless Mesh Networks, IEEE Radio Communications September 2005

Agenda

- Overview of Mobile Ad Hoc Networks
- Major Technical challenges:
  - Networking
  - Real time services
  - Software
- Long-term Research Project:
  - Terminodes Projects

Cellular based



GSM Network Infrastructure

Ad-hoc network

- No centralized controller (base stations)
- No wired inter-connection backbone
- Forwarding function should be provided by mobile nodes




BSS
BTS
BTS
BTS
E1 Trunks
BSC
BTS
BTS
BTS
BSC
MSC
VLR
G-MSC
PSTN / ISDN
HLR
AUC
EIR



Mobility in Wireless LANs: Mobile IP



QoS and Multimedia Traffic Support

Adaptive Algorithm

by QoS Requirement



Mobility Unpredictable channel

by QoS Information

Introduction

Self-Organized Mobile Ad Hoc Networks

Overview (MANET)

- Packet Radio Networks (’70)
  - Research Results
    - Radio Resource Allocation
    - Network Organization
  - An Individual, handheld device
  - Military application (provide person-to-person communications on the battlefield)





MANET

- Potential Applications:
  - Manmade disasters
  - Relief operation
  - Military applications
  - Car-based networks
  - Sensor networks
  - The Provision of wireless connectivity in remote areas
  - Collaborative Computing, Video Conferences

MANET, Peculiarities

- They can act independent of any provider
- They have to be highly cooperative: The tasks are distributed over the nodes
- Any operation is the result of the collaboration of a group of them
- The nodes rely on batteries for their energy, energy saving
- Power aware: the set of functions offered by a node depends on its available power
- Highly dynamic topology
- Security is difficult to implement

Technical Issues

- Routing
- Mobility Management
- IP Address
- Transport Layer
- Air Interface
- Security
- Power Management
- Standards and Products

Routing

- Ad hoc routing
  - Different from traditional solutions in the Internet or cellular phone networks (relative stable, distributed routing databases)
  - IETF (The Internet Engineering Task Force) MANET address the challenge
  - Distant vector, links state, source routing (table driven, on-demand)
  - Geographic methods: nodes are informed of their own geographic position

Routing Protocol

- Traditional Routing
  - Distance Vector (Bellman Ford)
  - Link State
- Ad Hoc Routing Protocols
  - DSDV
  - DSR
  - AODV
  - TORA

Traditional Routing

- Distance Vector (Table Driven)
  - Each node maintains its own routing table
  - Routing table contains
    - destination node index
    - next hop
    - metric
  - Periodic routing table exchange
- Disadvantage
  - Count-Infinity Problem
  - Convergence Problem

A	B	C
B-A-1	A-B-1 C-B-1	B-C-1
B-B-1 C-B-2	A-B-1 C-B-1	B-B-1 A-B-2
0	1	2
x	1	2
x	3	2
x	3	4
x	5	4
x	∞	∞

Traditional Routing (Cont.)

- Link State Routing
- Procedures
  - Neighbor Discovery
  - Routing Information Broadcast
  - Shortest Path Finding (e.g. Dijkstra's algorithm)
- Disadvantage
  - short-live looping problem

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0			X										
1			X										
2	X	X		X									
3			X		X			X			X		
4				X		X	X						
5					X								
6					X								
7				X					X	X			
8								X					
9								X					
10				X								X	X
11											X		
12											X		

adjacency matrix

Ad Hoc Routing - DSDV

- DSDV
  - Destination Sequence Distance Vector Routing
  - Each route information is labeled with a increasing sequence number
    - Route info. with greatest number will be update
  - Route info. of broken link is broadcast with odd sequence one greater than the original sequence number
- Contribution
  - Main contribution of DSDV is freedom-loop guarantee
- Disadvantage
  - The periodic broadcast adds the overhead into the network

Ad Hoc Routing - DSR

- DSR
  - Dynamic Source Routing
  - Route Discovery
    - Source node flooding routing request (RREQ) packet
    - Destination (inter-node) node reply RREP packet that piggybacks the route info.
    - Source node caches the route info
  - Route Maintenance
    - The route info. will be remove after receiving RERR packet
- Advantage
  - Requires no periodical routing exchange
- Disadvantage
  - packet is larger because of carrying route info.



Routing in ad hoc network environment only



Routing in heterogeneous environment



Heterogeneous Network Support

- Use of Interface Indices in DSR



Internet Interconnection and Mobile IP

- DSR support the seamless interoperation between an ad hoc network and the Internet



On Demand Support Multicast & QoS



Bandwidth (QoS) Parameters



Multicast Join

Ad Hoc Routing - AODV

- AODV
  - Ad-hoc On-demand Distance Vector
  - Shares the advantages of DSR and distance vector
  - Route Discovery
    - Similar to DSR
  - Route Maintenance - Table Entry
    - Destination IP, Destination Sequence, Hop Count, Next Hop, Life Time
  - The route info. Is invalid if
    - Life Time is expired
    - Receive RERR packet

Ad Hoc Routing - TORA

- TORA
  - Temporally-Ordered Routing Algorithm
  - Routing procedures
    - Flood QUERY packet
    - UPDATE packet will be broadcast from destination or inter-node
    - HEIGHT info. is appended to UPDATE packet
    - the node receives UPDATE packet set its height and the forwarding UPDATE packet's height to a value one greater than original one
  - Source node send data to the destination via neighbor that have lower height with respect to the destination
- Advantage
  - Minimizes the reaction due to changes of network topology
- Disadvantage
  - Depend on Internet MANET encapsulation Protocol, the overhead is large

Ad Hoc Routing - TORA (Cont.)



Directed acyclic graph rooted at destination

ABR (Associativity-Based Routing)

- ABR considers the stability of a link.
  - The metric is called degree of association stability.
- Basic Idea:
  - Each node periodically generates a beacon to signify its existence.
  - On receipt of the beacon, a neighboring node will increase the "tick" of the sender by 1.
    - A higher degree of association stability (i.e., ticks) may indicate a low mobility of that node.
    - A low degree of association stability may indicate a high mobility of that node.
  - When a link becomes broken, the node will set the tick of the other node to 0.

ABR Outline

- Route Discovery:
  - (similar to DSR)
    - On needing a route, a host will broadcast a ROUTE_REQUEST packet.
    - Each receiving host will append its address to the packet.
  - The association stability (represented by “ticks”) is also appended in the ROUTE_REQUEST packet.
  - The destination node will select the best route (in terms of association stability), and then respond a packet to the source.



- Route Reconstruction:
  - On route error, a node will perform a local search in hope of rebuild the path.
  - If the local search fails, a ROUTE_ERROR will be reported to the source.

Mobility Management

- Broadcasting a paging message the whole network: won't scale well
- Different from centralized servers (either HLR in GSM), location must be distributed among the nodes
- Prediction of the future locations



Radio Interface

- CSMA/CA: hidden terminal



- Defining master and slaves roles:
  Bluetooth

MACA/PR

- The key component
  - the MAC protocol for data transmission
  - Reservation scheme for real-time connection setup
  - QoS Routing algorithm

MACA/PR - MAC

- Data-gram Traffic
  - RTS - CTS - PKT - ACK
  - <RTS,CTS> for hidden terminal avoidance, ACK for retransmission
- Real-Time Traffic
  - < RTS - CTS > - PKT - ACK
  - <RTS,CTS> used for first time transmission to set up the reservation
  - ACK for renewing the reservation, not recovery

MACA/PR - Reservation/QoS Routing

- CYCLE is the max. interval allowed between two real-time packets
- Each node maintains its own reservation table
- DSDV routing is employed
- Bandwidth info. can be easily obtained via reservation table



Reservation Schedules - Slotted Case

MACA/PR - Properties

- Asynchronous approach
- Low latency, low packet loss rate
  - Hidden Terminal Problem is solve automatically
- Fair bandwidth sharing
- Good mobility handling
  - Maintain secondary routing path
- Low implementation costs

MAISIE Simulation Modules

Algorithms/ Protocols

Mobile IP/Nomadic Router



Network Layer: VC support ← "Soft state" fast VC setup

Network Layer: routing ← Loop-free QOS routing (DSDV)



Link Layer ← Acks, backpressure, priority



Mac Layer ← TDMA, CDMA, MACA, TOKEN

Clustering

Connectivity Management ← Adaptive power control
Distributed clusterhead election:



Radio Channel ← DS-SS;channel encoding

Network Architecture Models

PRNET | Cluster TDMA | MACA/PR | Cluster MACA

Cluster TDMA



Within each cluster: time-slotted frame



Cluster MACA





The Paradigm Shift and Some Open Research Questions

MANET

Terminodes Projects

- Large scale self-organized mobile ad hoc networks
- All layers and interlay interactions
  - From physical layer up to software architecture and applications
- Try to capture the business and societal potential
- Three levels:
  - Technical challenges
  - Intellectual fantasy
  - Societal/political vision

Terminodes

- Networking Issues
  - Scalability
- Virtual Currency
  - Obligation
- Real Time Services
  - QoS



Networking Issues



Greedy Forwarding



Greedy Forwarding



Greedy Forwarding



Greedy Forwarding



Greedy Forwarding



Greedy Forwarding Failure





Recover Mode (GPSR two modes)



Right hand rule



Face (Perimeter) traversal on a planar graph



Two primitives:
(1) the right-hand rule
(2) face-changes

Walking sequence:
F_1 -> F_2 -> F_3 -> F_4

Scenarios Where GPCR does not work Well

For Destination D2, the source A has to send to C even if it can send directly to more closer node B.



For Destination D1 The source A has to send to C (Junction node) then to B (because it is closer to D1 than F), then G. Then it goes for recovery mode because G is the local maxima and return back to C. C sends to F and finally Data is sent to D1.

Routing for Terminode

- Each Terminode has
  - A permanent unique node identier, EUI (End System Unique Identifier)
  - Location-Dependent Address (LDA)
- Geodesic Packet Forwarding:
  - The packet is forwarded to the neighbor closest to the direction in which the destination is located
- Terminode local routing
  - MANET routing (link State, Distance Vector, Source Routing)

Networking Issues



Virtual Currency (Nuglet)

- Service Availability is a major requirement for self-organization
- The End users must be given incentive to cooperate
- They must be encouraged to not overload the network

Multiple description coding

$\rho = 0$



$\rho = 1$ bit/pixel

Real-Time Services over Ad hoc Networks

- Real-Time Services
  - Voice or video over ad hoc networks
  - Unreliable <-> stringent delay
  - Large error , node failure
- Redundancy, error correction codes over parallel connections

Software Aspects

- Software implementations:
  - Base software: Routing algorithms, accounting system and security system
  - Application software: Software that makes a collection of terminodes useful for a client
  - Flexible software architectures
- Resource Allocations
  - Contract
  - Loader
  - Dynamic checks

Discussions

- Three Networks:
  - Telecom networks
  - The Internet
  - Self-Organized Mobile Ad Hoc Networks

Network	Infrastructure	Security	Applications
Telecom networks	Telcos	Telcos	Telcos (IN)
Internet	ISPs + telcos	ISPs + users (PGP)	Users
Self-org. ad hoc NW	Users + vendors	Users + vendors	Users